

Discussion 1: What are you not getting from NoCo B&P? What's working/not working?

GROUP 1

Want to pursue grant and other funds

Communication with TAC has improved

More in-depth information on other members? Programs/priorities

Informal = no "status" with potential partners

How do we advise TAC? Can this role be more substantial?

Engaging partners, especially those who can't attend meetings regularly to make sure they are represented

Lack of a collective voice on larger issues

Finalized roles and responsibilities (committee, board, chairs, etc.)

Purpose: advocacy? events? ranking of grants?

More defined organizational structure for attendance

Need a clearer purpose/vision (education, advocacy, grant ranking?)

Need more intentional outreach to smaller communities

What is the geography covered by the group? (MPO? Something larger?)

Money - no mention for grants/joint events

Need a clear vision for NoCo Bike & Ped

Recreation focus? Utility/Transportation focus?

Examine similar audiences(?) across community

Develop orientation and benefit to your community documents(?)

GROUP 2

Committees: events, grants, programs/speaker

Funding management

Make MPO funding pools competitive for bike project

Time allocated to NoCo Bike & Ped (the top part of this sticky note was covered up in the picture, so I couldn't read the first part)

Clear capacity

Leverage regional event and education opportunities (may be structured group to support, dedicated MPO staff time/resources)

Lacking representation (TAC/Council)

Need clear boundaries (what we can/can't say to TAC)

Need clear objective of who are we trying to reach

Voting board/membership (comprised of defined rep.)

Influence with TAC

Representation, influence, and relationships @ local levels

Empowered representation

Preserve grassroots advocacy representation

Less legitimacy due to lack of recognized structure

Our group is unique within the state and that has value to our region

Work plan/projects schedule for group to focus on (progress on plans, developed by one group, what are we working on as a group outside scoring apps)

Need a legitimate voice

Want acknowledgment @ the Council level

Retain: collaboration, networking, education

Unification structure on all projects who is a legitimate voting member

Implicit on major infrastructure projects

Discussion 2: Pros and cons of different organizational structures

GROUP 1

1. MPO Committee/Subcommittee

What does "membership" on a committee look like?

2. Non-Profit

Money figured out but no time or commitment to lead

Partner with existing community foundation or other non-profit

Too much work

3. Remain Informal

No money or bank account

A community leads an event and keeps \$ afterwards or sends to next community ("pass the torch")

Who signs and who has group authority?

Formalizing things w/in current structure could be a step toward MPO committee without committing right now

GROUP 2

1. MPO Committee/Subcommittee

Official representation poses challenge: increased outlets, need to maintain equity

Attendance/membership (more= better, more = complication)

Direct path to legitimacy

Respect time invested to date on this effort by all

Com. of Council vs. Com. of TAC

Achieves clearer structure

2. Non-Profit

Full authority over group direction

Addresses funding

Does it address legitimacy?

Additional amount of time needed for governance

May not address "legitimacy"

Become advocates, less voice

Who will volunteer to set up 501(c)3? (cost/paperwork, logistics/time to set up, can't bill org. time to 501(c)3)